

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/649,685 | | 08/28/2003 | Hirofumi Watanabe | Q76895 | 5665 | |
| 23373 | 7590 | 02/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SUGHRUE | • | | | ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN | | |
| SUITE 800 | SYLVAN | ΠΑ AVENUE, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | | 3612 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/08/200 | ς . | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | - |
|---|---|--|---------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | , |
| | 10/649,685 | WATANABE, HIROFUMI | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Patricia L Engle | 3612 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication of the co | on appears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al | eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or | 1 . | | |
| • | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a | allowance except for formal mat | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice u | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the appli | cation. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | ithdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 i | s/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ol | pjected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | • | • | I). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received in A | application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | e priority documents have beer | received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International | , | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | r a list of the certified copies not | received. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 3) A Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/28/03. | /SB/08) 5) | | |
| | | | |

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers

have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every

feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sensor detecting expansion and

contraction of a damper (claim 4) and the sensor detects vibration (claim 8) must be shown or the

feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/649,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cleland et al. (US 2003/0030299).

Regarding claim 1, Cleland et al. disclose a door-opening/closing apparatus (28) for a vehicle, comprising: a body having an opening (14); a door (18) for closing the opening of the body; a driving unit (32) that drives the door (18) to close the door; a door movement detection unit (500) that detects a movement of the door; and a judgment unit (502) that judges whether the door is attempted to be closed, wherein when the door movement detection unit detects a movement of the door, and when the judgment unit judges that the door is attempted to be closed, the driving unit drives to close the door.

Regarding claim 2, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the door movement detection unit detects the movement of the door by monitoring an operation of the driving unit (paragraph 0123).

Regarding claim 3, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the door movement detection unit detects the movement of the door by detecting a rotation angle (paragraph 0117) of a hinge that supports the door.

Regarding claim 4, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the door movement detection unit detects the movement of the door by detecting expansion and contraction of a damper (pargraph 0130) mounted between the body and the door.

Regarding claim 9, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the door (18) is a back door (Fig. 1) that closes a tailgate formed on a rear portion of the body.

Regarding claim 10, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the door is a side door (paragraph 0046, line 8) that closes a side gate formed on a side portion of the body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleland et al.

Regarding claims 5-7, Cleland et al. disclose that the door opening system may include a plurality of inputs to judge that the door is requested to be opened or closed. Cleland et al. do

Art Unit: 3612

not disclose a sensor that detects a human touch as one of the inputs. The Examiner takes Official notice that touch sensors, both electrostatic and temperature, are well known as a method of beginning the operation of a driving mechanism. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a touch sensor, either electrostatic or temperature, as an input to the control system to request opening or closing of the door. The motivation would have been to allow the operator of the vehicle to open the vehicle by a simple touch when they cannot access their keys.

Regarding claim 8, Cleland et al. disclose the door-opening/closing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the body includes sensor that determines if the vehicle is in motion before opening or closing the door. Cleland et al. do not disclose that this sensor is a vibration sensor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a vibration sensor to determine whether or not the door should be open or closed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses other door opening systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

ple

February 2, 2005